

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,968	02/14/2002	Cyril J. Schweich JR.	7528.0002-15	8329
22852	7590 09/27/2002			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			JASTRZAB, JEFFREY R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3762		
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

 <del>,</del>		
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/073,968	SCHWEICH, JR. ET AL.	
Examiner *	 Art Unit	
Jeffrey R. Jastrzab	3762	

	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the	Correspondence	Idvasa
Period fo	Renly	correspondence ad	uress

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply a

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/16/02</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-39</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-39</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/073,968

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Interference

Applicants' intent to provoke an interference by copying claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,190,408 is noted, however an interference will not be set up at this time as the current claims are not deemed to be "patentable to Applicant(s)".

### Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 2/14/02 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The concept of a curved "second connector" does not appear to have support in the specification as originally filed. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The concept of a curved "second

Application/Control Number: 10/073,968

Art Unit: 3762

connector" does not appear to have support in the specification as originally filed. The claim will not be further treated on its merits.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In Claim 32, it is unclear how the connector can be used endocardially when it is previously claimed to be "around the chamber" which appears to mean external to the heart. Claim 33 lacks antecedent basis for the "second connector".

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,968

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 15-32 and 34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,077,214. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current claims relate to the same inventive concept and are either an obvious broadening of the scope of the patented claims or they amount to an obvious variant thereof.

Claims 34-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,165,119 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current claims relate to the same inventive concept and are either an obvious broadening of the scope of the patented claims or they amount to an obvious variant thereof.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Jastrzab whose telephone number is (703) 308-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30am to 3:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angie Sykes, can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 305-3590.

Jeffrey R. Jastrzab Primary Examiner Group 3762

September 26, 2002